

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
,	09/629,320 07/31/2000		ERIC R. SCHORMAN	PF02024NA	4698
	20280	7590 12/17/2003		EXAMINER	
	MOTOROLA INC 600 NORTH US HIGHWAY 45			HOLLOWAY III, EDWIN C	
		TLLE, IL 60048-5343	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2635	/2 \
			·	DATE MAILED: 12/17/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/629,320	SCHORMAN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Edwin C. Holloway, III	2635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed sys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10-0	<u>11-03</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-10 and 12-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-10 and 12-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)[10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is of	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
a) _* s	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicar In the first series of the certified copies not received the certified copies not receive.	tion No red in this National Stage ed.				
s 3	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest ince a specific reference was included in the firm 7 CFR 1.78.) The translation of the foreign language pro	st sentence of the specification of	or in an Application Data Sheet.				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti eference was included in the first sentence of the						
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/629,320 Page 2

Art Unit: 2635

EXAMINER'S RESPONSE

1. In response to applicant's amendment filed 10-01-03, all the amendments to the specification and claims have been entered. The examiner has considered the new presentation of claims and applicant's arguments in view of the disclosure and the present state of the prior art. And it is the examiner's opinion that the claims are unpatentable for the reasons set forth in this Office action:

Claim Objections

2. Claims 12-13 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 12 and 13 depend from canceled claim 11. For purposes of prior art rejections made below, Claims 12-13 will be considered to depend from claim 10. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1, 5, 8-9, 10, 14, 17-19, 20-21 and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borgstahl (WO 98/17032) in combination with DeSimone (US 6212548).

Regarding independent claims 1, 10 and 20, Borgstahl discloses an wireless peer-to-peer network 22 that is considered

f...

Application/Control Number: 09/629,320 Page 3

Art Unit: 2635

to be an ad-hoc network of peers 20 that include a database 42, processor 40 and user output device 46 in figure 2. The peers (or members) broadcast to others a need/capability message 64 including an ID 66 corresponding to an announcement in page 10 line 30 - page 11 line 7. These messages exchange information to build a database in the peers. A peer may receive data from a service receiving peer and announce the collected data audibly or visibly on a portable or stationary device in page 15 lines 9-22. Borgstahl differs from the claims by not including the user output device outputting concurrently information including an identity of a person associated with each of the plurality of members.

DeSimone discloses an analogous art peer to peer communication system with user output device displaying a list of names identifying participants or members available for chat and/or list of names in chat to allow establishing and maintaining multiple simultaneous message sessions. See col. 1 lines 1-48, col. 5 lines 40-54 and col. 13 line 61 - col. 14 line 40. Figs. 3 and 7 show display of lists and fig. 2B shows a peer to peer network. Peer to peer allows improved real time performance and more immediate control in col. 15 lines 28-53.

It would have been obvious to have included in Borgstahl user output display listing a plurality of names identifying

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/629,320

Art Unit: 2635

messaging participants and/or people available for participation (connected to the network) as disclosed in DeSimone because this allows establishing an maintaining multiple simultaneous message sessions. The combination is suggested by Borgstahl disclosing a peer to peer network advantagiously providing a vast and diverse assortment of services and DeSimone disclosing a messaging service in a peer to peer system where peer to peer network operation permits improved real time performance and more immediate control.

Regarding claim 5, 14 and 21, Borgstahl includes Proximity in page 5 line 2.

Regarding claim 8, Borgstahl includes RF and IR in page 5 lines 2-5.

Regarding claim 9, Borgstahl includes visual or audible output in page 15 and DeSimone includes a visual display.

Regarding claims 17-19 the exchanging of needs and capabilities and/or the collecting of data in Borgstahl builds a database.

Regarding claims 26-27 Borgstahl includes a processor 40 associated with output device 46 that is a display in page 15 lines 9-22.

5. Claims 1, 3, 5-6, 11-12, 14, 16, 21, 23-24 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 09/629,320

Art Unit: 2635

Borgstahl (WO 98/17032) in combination with DeSimone (US 6212548) as applied above and further in view of Chern (US 6381465).

Chern discloses an analogous art communication system with user output device displaying visually or announcing by voice an index or list of available services for user selection in col. 8 liens 18-67. The services may be listed, ranked or sorted according to user preference such as proximity in col. 11 lines 5-26 and col. 12 lines 29-43.

Regarding claims 3, 12 and 24, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have ordered the list in the combination applied above as claimed because the services of Chern may be sorted by user preferences. This suggests that the list may be displayed in the order received without sorting, or the preference could be time corresponding to chronological order received.

Regarding claims 5, 14, 21 and 28-30 the display of Chern includes a list that is sorted or ranked by proximity.

Regarding claims 6, 16, and 23, the examiner previously argued that signal strength is a well known manner to determine the proximity of Chern. Since applicant did not argue this issue, it now considered to be admitted prior art. See MPEP 2144.03.

Application/Control Number: 09/629,320 Page 6

Art Unit: 2635

6. Claims 4, 13 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borgstahl (WO 98/17032) in combination with DeSimone (US 6212548) and Chern (US 6381465 as applied above and further in view of Will (US 5479408).

Will discloses an analogous art two way pager and method including queue with order dependent on urgency. See cols. 18 and 23. It would have been obvious to have included the list or queue order dependent on urgency of Will in the combination applied above to assure that priority data is transmitted in a timely manner.

7. Claims 3, 7, 12, 15, 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borgstahl (WO 98/17032) in combination with DeSimone (US 6212548) and Chern (US 6381465 as applied above and further in view of Perkins (US 5412654).

Perkins discloses an analogous art ad-hoc network and method including in col. 6 information ranking preferences based on time or number of hops. It would have been obvious to have included the list or display in the combination applied above according to the order of time or number of hops disclose in Perkins as preference for making connection decisions.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-10 and 12-30 filed 10-01-03 have been considered but are moot in view

Application/Control Number: 09/629,320

Art Unit: 2635

of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dickson (US 4173016) discloses an interpersonal introduction signaling system and Allen (US 5119226) discloses an infrared network entry permission apparatus.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology center 2600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Facsimile submissions may be sent via fax number (703) 872-9314 to customer service for entry by technical support staff. Questions regarding fax submissions should be directed to customer service voice line (703) 306-0377.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edwin C. Holloway, III whose telephone number is (703) 305-4818. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30:-5:00). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Horabik can be reached on (703) 305-4704.

EH

12/12/03

EDWIN C. HOLLOWAY, III

Page 7

PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2635